# On Set-Texture Spaces and Degree-Texture Spaces

Zainab Naji Hameed (<sup>⊠</sup>)
Faculty of Education for Girls, Kufa University, Iraq

zainabn.alkraawy@student.uokufa.edu.iq Hiyam Hassan Kadhem Faculty of Education, Kufa University, Iraq

**Abstract**— A general theory of fuzzy sets was described employing texture spaces. This work aimed to introduce two concepts in texture theory, namely set-texture and degree-texture spaces using topological spaces and new properties. Moreover, many examples were studied to investigate new texture spaces. The new concepts will use in other topological spaces and find another application on it.

**Keywords**— Degree- topology, Set- $T_0$  Space, Texture space, Set-Texture Space, Degree-Texture Space.

### 1 Introduction

The concepts of texture space and ditopological texture space as fuzzy structures and ditopological fuzzy structures were introduced by Brown at the 2nd BUFSA Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Artificial Intelligence held at Trabzon University in 1992. In 2000, Lawrence and Erturk investigated the complement products of texture and sums [2]. While the concept of subtexture by comparing to quotient texture in a complemented texture space defined by the same authors [3]. Brown et.al. extended the bitopological notion of sequential normality to ditopological texture spaces. They also defined (pseudo-) dimetrizability [4].

The concept of paracompactness was discussed in ditopological texture spaces [5]. In 2019, Kule and Dost [6] used the concepts of semi-open and semi-closed sets to introduce the semi-separation axioms in ditopological texture spaces. In addition, they had been establishing the properties of these concepts and the relations between them. In [7], the ideal texture space and the di-local function were introduced by using the families of neighborhood structure for a ditopological texture space.

Currently, Kunduraci et. al. established texture graphs from texture spaces, known as ditopological texture graphs, via corresponding *n*-point graphs with n -point ditopologies [8], for more details see [9-13]. Hameed and Kadhem [14] introduced a new topology on a graph, namely the degree topology that is defined by the degree of the vertices of the graphs. Moreover, they initiated a new property, namely set-T<sub>0</sub>space and discussed the relationship between this property and T<sub>0</sub>-space.

This paper aims to relocate the topological space of the graph to the texture space. Set-texture space and degree-texture spaces that are based on topological spaces and  $set-T_0$ -spaces are presented and discussed. By using the relevant examples, we have furthered the new concepts.

### 2 Preliminaries

This section includes the basic ideas regarding the texture space, set- $T_0$  space and degree- topology are specified which will benefit in the rest of sections.

**Definition 2.1** [2]: Let A be any set and let  $\psi$  be a subset of P(A), then  $\psi$  is called a texture of A, if it is satisfied the following:

- (ψ,⊆) is a complete lattice including A and Øbesides the meet and join operations in (ψ,⊆) are correlated alongside the intersection and union operations.
- $\psi$  is completely distributive.
- $\psi$ divides the points of A. Specifically, for any distinct elements in A there exists U in  $\psi$  contains one element and not the other.

**Definition 2.2 [14]**: Let A be any set and let  $\psi$  be a subset of P(A), then  $\psi$  is called a texture of A, if it is satisfied Let G(V, E) be a simple graph and K be the max degree of all vertices in G. Then, the topology that defines on vertex set V and generated by a basis B is called degree-topology and denoted by  $T_{deg}$  where  $B_{deg} = \{A_i : i = 0, ..., k\}$ , where  $A_i$  is the set of all vertices that have a degree i, and k is the maximum degree of all vertices in G.

**Definition 2.3 [14]**: Let X be a non-empty set and  $\tau$  be a topology on X, then  $\tau$  is called set- $T_0$  space which is denoted by  $T_{0(s)}$  if there exist non-empty sets  $M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots M_K \in \tau$  with  $M_i \neq X$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and k is any natural number such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k M_i = \emptyset$  and,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k M_i = X$ .

### Remark 2.4 [14]:

- 1. The set- $T_0$  space is not necessarily satisfied  $T_0$  space
- 2. The  $T_0$ -space is not necessarily satisfied the set- $T_0$  space

**Theorem 2.5 [14]:** Every degree- topology is a set- $T_0$  space

## 3 Set-Texture Space

**Definition 3.1**: Let S be a non-empty set and  $\tau$  be a topology on S, then  $\tau$  is called a Set-texture of S and S is called a Set-textured by  $\tau$ , if there is a relation  $\wp$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (τ, ℘) is a complete lattice.
- $(\tau, \cap, \cup)$  is a distributive lattice.
- $\tau$  is Set- $T_0$  space.

Then,  $(S, \tau)$ , is called Set-texture space

**Example 3.2:** Let  $S = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ , and  $\wp$  be the inclusion relation defined on  $\tau$ . Then,  $\tau$  is a Set-texture of S because  $\wp = \{(\emptyset, \emptyset), (\emptyset, S), (\emptyset, \{a\}), (\emptyset, \{b, c\}), (\{a\}, S), (\{a\}, \{a\}), (S, S), (\{b, c\}, S), (\{b, c\}, \{b, c\})\}$ . We have  $\wp$  is a reflexive, anti-symmetric, and transitive relation. Since for every pair of elements in X that the supremum is S and the infimum is  $\emptyset$ . Thus,  $(\tau, \wp)$  is a lattice, and so it is a complete lattice due to it is finite. Now, for all  $A, B, C \in \tau$ , that  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$  implies  $(\tau, \cap, \cup)$  is a distributive lattice. Now, take  $M_1 = \{a\}$ , and  $M_2 = \{b, c\}$ , we notice that  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$  and  $M_1 \cup M_2 = S$ . Therefore,  $\tau$  is a Set-texture of S.

**Example 3.3:** Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ ,  $\tau = \{E, \emptyset, \{e_1, e_3\}, \{e_2, e_4\}\}$ , and  $\emptyset$  be the inclusion relation defined on  $\tau$ . Then, $\tau$  is a Set-texture of S because  $\mathscr{D} = \{(\emptyset,\emptyset), (\emptyset, E), (\emptyset, \{e_1, e_3\}), (\emptyset, \{e_2, e_4\}), (\{e_1, e_3\}, E), (\{e_1, e_3\}, \{e_1, e_3\}), (E, E), (\{e_2, e_4\}, E), (\{e_1, e_3\}, \{e_1, e_3\})\}$  and  $\mathscr{D}$  is a reflexive, anti-symmetric, and transitive relation. Since for every pair of elements, the supremum is E and the infimum is  $\emptyset$ . Then,  $(\tau, \emptyset)$  is a lattice and so it is a complete lattice since it is a finite set. Now, for all  $A, B, C \in \tau$ , that  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ . Then,  $(\tau, \cap, \cup)$  is a distributive lattice. Take  $M_1 = \{e_1, e_3\}$ , and  $M_2 = \{e_2, e_4\}$  we notice that  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$  and  $M_1 \cup M_2 = S$ . Therefore,  $\tau$  is a Set-texture of S.

**Example 3.4:** Let  $X = \{y, w, z\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{y\}, \{z\}, \{y, z\}\}$  be a topology on X. Then,  $\tau$  is not a Set-texture of X since it is not a set- $T_0$  space because there are no open subsets of X which satisfy the conditions of a set- $T_0$  space.

**Remark 3.5:** Any two sets A and B in degree-topology are satisfied one of the following:

- 1.  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .
- 2.  $A \subseteq B$ , in this case,  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ .

Note that the topology  $\tau$  in Example 3.3 with the inclusion Remark 3.6: ξ is relation define on τ texture cause  $(\{z\}, \{y, z\})$ , (X, X),  $(\{z\}, X)$ ,  $(\{y, z\}, X)$ ,  $(\{y\}, \{y\})$ ,  $(\{z\}, \{z\})$ ,  $(\{y, z\}, \{y, z\})$ . And,  $\xi$  is a reflexive, anti-symmetric, and transitive relation. Since for every pair of elements, the supremum is X and the infimum is  $\emptyset$ . Then,  $(\tau, \xi)$  is a lattice and it is complete for lattice due to it being finite. Now, for all  $A, B, C \in \tau$ , that  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup \Gamma$  $(A \cap C)$ . Then,  $(\tau, \cap, \cup)$  is a distributive lattice. Since for any two distinct elements in X, there is an open set containing one of them and not both. Hence,  $\tau$  is a texture space.

### Remark 3.7:

- 1. A Set-texture space is not necessarily a texture space as in Example 3.2 which is a Set-texture space but not a texture space. Since for  $e_1, e_3 \in V$ where  $e_1 \neq e_3$ , there is no open set containing either  $e_1$  or  $e_3$  but not both.
- 2. Texture space is not necessarily satisfied a Set-texture space as in Example 3.4 which is not a Set-texture space and it is texture space.

### 4 Degree-Texture Space

**Definition 4.1:** Let S be a non-empty set and  $T_{deg}$  be a degree-topology on S. Then,  $T_{deg}$  is called a degree-texture of S and S is called a degree-textured which is denoted by  $T_{deg}$  provided satisfying the following conditions:

- $(T_{deg}, \mathfrak{J})$  is a complete lattice.
- $(T_{deg}, \cap, \cup)$  is a distributive lattice.

Then, $(S, T_{deg})$  is called degree-texture space. The relation  $\Im$  is defined by  $\Im = \{A \times B \subseteq T_{deg} \times T_{deg} : A = B \text{ or } |A| < |B|\}\}$ , where |A| and |B| are the numbers of vertices in A and B, respectively. And,  $\Im$  is called a degree-relation.

**Remark 4.2:** Let  $\mathfrak{J}$ be the relation  $\mathfrak{J}$ which is defined in Definition 4.1 with degree-topology  $T_{deg}$ . Then,  $(T_{deg},\mathfrak{J})$  is a complete lattice and  $(T_{deg},\cap,\cup)$  is a distributive lattice because if we permit G(V,E) as a graph with vertex set V and E as an edge set. Firstly, we must prove that  $(T_{deg},\mathfrak{J})$  is a lattice where  $\mathfrak{J} = \{A \times B \subseteq T_{deg} \times T_{deg} : A = B \text{ or } |A| < |B| \}$ , where |A| and |B| the numbers of vertices in A and B, respectively. For any  $A, B \in T_{deg}$ , that A = B or |A| < |B|, for A = A. Then  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a reflexive relation.

If  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{I}$ , then A = B or |A| < |B|. And, if  $(B, A) \in \mathfrak{I}$ , then B = A or |B| < |A|. Consequently, there are many cases:

- If A = B and B = A, then  $\Im$  is anti-symmetric
- If A = B and |B| < |A|, then it is impossible for if A = B then |A| = |B| similarly when B = A and |A| < |B|.
- If |A| < |B| and |B| < |A|, then |B| = |A|, so A = B, and so  $\Im$  is antisymmetric.

So,  $(T_{deg}, \mathfrak{F})$  is an anti-symmetric relation.

For any  $A,B,C\in T_{deg}$ , with  $(A,B)\in\mathfrak{F}$ , then A=B or |A|<|B|. If  $(B,C)\in\mathfrak{F}$ , then B=C or |B|<|C|. Either A=B=C, then  $A\times A\in\mathfrak{F}$ . So,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a transitive relation. Or |A|<|B|<|C|, then |A|<|C| that is  $(A,C)\in\mathfrak{F}$ . So,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a transitive relation. Hence,  $(T_{deg},\subseteq)$  is a partial order set. For any  $A,B\in T_{deg}$ , there is  $V\in T_{deg}$  such that  $A\times V\in\mathfrak{F}$  and  $B\times V\in\mathfrak{F}$ . Since no element W in  $T_{deg}$  less than V such that  $A\times W\in\mathfrak{F}$  and  $B\times W$ . So A and B have a supremum V in  $T_{deg}$ , there is  $\emptyset\in T_{deg}$  such that  $\emptyset\times A\in\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\emptyset\times B\in\mathfrak{F}$ . Since no element M in  $T_{deg}$  greater than  $\emptyset$  such that  $\emptyset\times A\in\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\emptyset\times B\in\mathfrak{F}$ . Then, A and B have the infimum  $\emptyset$  in  $T_{deg}$ . Hence,  $T_{deg}$  is a lattice, and it is a complete lattice due to it is a finite set. To investigate the distribution  $A\cap (B\cup C)=(A\cap B)\cup (A\cap C)$ , for all  $A,B,C\in T_{deg}$ , there are many cases:

- 1. If  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $A \subseteq C$ , and  $B \subseteq C$ , then  $A \cap (B \cup C) = A \cap C = A$  and  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) = A \cup A = A$ . Thus,  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ .
- 2. If  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $A \subseteq C$ , and  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap (B \cup C) = A$  and  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) = A$ . Therefore,  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ .
- 3. If  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $B \subseteq C$ , and  $A \cap C = \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap (B \cup C) = A \cap B = A$  and  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) = A \cup \emptyset = A$ . So,  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ .
- 4. If  $A \subseteq C$ ,  $B \subseteq C$ , and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap (B \cup C) = A \cap C = A$  and  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) = A \cup \emptyset = A$ . Consequently,  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ .
- 5. If  $A \subseteq C$ ,  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ , and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap (B \cup C) = A \cap A = A$  and  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) = A \cup \emptyset = A$ . So,  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ .
- 6. If  $B \subseteq C$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , and  $A \cap C = \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap (B \cup C) = A \cap C = \emptyset$  and  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) = \emptyset \cup \emptyset = \emptyset$ . Accordingly,  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ .
- 7. If  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , and  $A \cap C = \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap (B \cup C) = \emptyset$  and  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) = \emptyset$ . Subsequently,  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ .
- 8. If  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $A \cap C = \emptyset$ , and  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap (B \cup C) = A$  and  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) = A$ . So,  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ .

Therefore,  $(T_{deg}, \cap, \cup)$  is distributive.

**Example 4.3:** Let  $P_4(V, E)$  be a path graph of order four with the first vertex  $v_1$  and the last vertex  $v_4$ . Then,  $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  we have  $A_0 = \emptyset, A_1 = \{v_1, v_4\}$ , and  $A_2 = \{v_2, v_3\}$ . Accordingly, the basis for  $T_{deg}$  is  $\{\emptyset, \{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_2, v_3\}\}$  and by taking all unions, the degree-topology can be written as  $\{\emptyset, V, \{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_2, v_3\}\}$ .

Then,  $T_{deg}(P_4)$  is a degree texture of V with the degree-relation  $\mathfrak I$  which is defined on  $T_{deg}(P_4)$  as

 $\mathfrak{F} = \{(\emptyset, \emptyset), (\emptyset, V), (\emptyset, \{v_1, v_4\}), (\emptyset, \{v_2, v_3\}), (\{v_1, v_4\}, V), (\{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_1, v_4\}), (\{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_1, v_4\}), (\{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_1, v_4\}), (\{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_1,$ 

 $(V,V), (\{v_2,v_3\},V), (\{v_2,v_3\},\{v_2,v_3\})\}$ . And,  $\Im$  is a reflexive, anti-symmetric, and transitive relation. Since every pair of an element has the supremum is V and the infimum is  $\emptyset$ . Then,  $(T_{deg}(P_n),\Im)$  be lattice and it is a complete lattice being finite. For all  $A,B,C\in \tau$ , we have  $A\cap (B\cup C)=(A\cap B)\cup (A\cap C)$ .

Then  $(T_{deg}(P_n), \cap, \cup)$  is a distributive lattice. Note that  $T_{deg}(P_n)$  is the Set- $T_0$  space for if the permit  $M_1 = \{v_1, v_4\}$ , and  $M_2 = \{v_2, v_3\}$  such that  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$  and  $M_1 \cup M_2 = V$ . Hence,  $T_{deg}(P_n)$  is the set texture of V.

**Theorem 4.4:** Every degree-texture space is a Set-texture space.

**Proof:** Since degree-topology is a topology and by Remark 3.7 part (2)it is satisfied the complete lattice and distributive lattice. Theorem 2.5 implies that every degree-topology is satisfied set- $T_0$  space. Thus, it is a Set-texture space as desired.

### Remark 4.5:

- 1) The converse of Theorem 4.4 is not true in general as in Example 3.2 which is a set-texture space but not a degree-texture space. Since the inclusion relation is different from the degree relation.
- 2) Degree-texture space is not necessarily satisfied texture space and the converse for the inclusion relation is different from the degree-relation. Remark 2.4 asserts that not every  $T_0$  space is satisfied Set- $T_0$  space and vice versa.

### 6 References

- L. Brown and M. Diker, Ditopological texture spaces and intuitionistic sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 98, pp. 217–224, 1998.
- [2] L. M. Brown and R. Erturk, Fuzzy sets as texture spaces, I. Representation theorems, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 110, no.2, pp. 227-235, 2000.
- [3] L. M. Brown and R. Erturk, Fuzzy sets as texture spaces, II. Representation theorems, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 110, no.2, pp. 237-245, 2000.
- [4] L. M. Brown, R. Ertürk, and A. Irkad, Sequentially dinormal ditopological texture spaces and dimetrizability, Science Direct Topology and its Applications, vol.155, no.2, pp. 2177– 2187, 2008.
- [5] A. Rani and A. Nithya, Discovers in Ditopological Texture space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 3, no.2, pp. 114-151, 2013.
- [6] M. Kule and S. Dost, Semi-compactness in ditopological texture spaces and soft fuzzy topological spaces, Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, vol. 30, pp. 2037–2053, 2016.
- [7] M. Kule and S. Dost, Texture Spaces with Ideal, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat., vol. 68, no.2, pp. 1596.1610, 2019.
- [8] T. Kunduraci, C. S. Elmali and T. Uğur, The Ditopological Texture Graphs. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365354648
- [9] L. Kočinaca and S. Özça, Selection properties of texture structures, Topology and its Applications., vol. 152, pp. 158–168, 2015.
- [10] L. M. Brown, Quotients of Textures and Ditopological Texture Spaces, Topology Proceedings, vol. 29, no.2, pp. 337-368, 2005.
- [11] M. Kule and S. Dost,  $\beta$ -Selection Properties In Ditopological Texture Spaces, Sigma Journal of Engineering and Natural Sciences Sigma Mühendislik ve Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, vol. 38, no.2, pp.1019-1029, 2020.
- [12] A. K. Saw and B. C. Tripathy, H(i) Connected Ditopological Texture Space, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat., vol. 37, no.1, pp. 87–97, 2019.
- [13] M. Kule and S. Dost, Compactness In Ditopological Texture Spaces, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 38, no.1, pp. 21 – 43, 2009.
- [14] Z. N. Hameed and H. H. Kadhem, On Degree Topology and Set- $T_0$  space, submitted to Wasit Journal of Computer and Mathematic Science.

Article submitted 2 November 2022. Published as resubmitted by the authors 9 Dec. 2022.